

From: Bernadette Rappold/DC/USEPA/US
Sent: 2/7/2012 4:42:57 PM
To: Tim Sullivan/DC/USEPA/US@EPA; Anne Berube/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
CC: Karin Leff/DC/USEPA/US@EPA; Andrew Stewart/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Subject: Fw: EPA Statement -- FYI

Found out on Dimock call today that R3 did indeed issue the press statement to the media.

Most of our suggested edits were not taken (including ones to correct grammatical errors).

- b

Bernadette Rappold, Director
Special Litigation and Projects Division (MC 2248)
Office of Civil Enforcement
U.S. EPA
1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Room 3118B, ARS
Washington, DC 20460

Telephone: (202) 564-4387
Fax: (202) 501-0363

Help eliminate environmental violations.
Report tips and complaints at:
<http://www.epa.gov/compliance/complaints/index.html>

This email is for the intended recipient only and may contain material that is privileged and/or confidential. If you believe you have received this email in error, kindly notify the sender and then delete the message from your hard drive. Thank you.

----- Forwarded by Bernadette Rappold/DC/USEPA/US on 02/07/2012 04:41 PM -----

From: Cecil Rodrigues/R3/USEPA/US
To: Bernadette Rappold/DC/USEPA/US, Karin Leff/DC/USEPA/US
Date: 02/07/2012 04:28 PM
Subject: Fw: EPA Statement -- FYI

Cecil Rodrigues

----- Original Message -----

From: Joan Schafer

Sent: 02/06/2012 09:32 AM EST

To: Cecil Rodrigues; Terri-A White; Cynthia Metzger; Dennis Carney <carney.dennis@epa.gov>; Heather Gray; duteau.helen@epa.gov; Humane Zia; hodgkiss.kathy@epa.gov; Michael Kulik; Roy Seneca <seneca.roy@epa.gov>; Joan Schafer; Victoria Binetti; Walter Wilkie; taylor.trish@epa.gov; johnson.Karend@epa.gov; Jennie Saxe; Gerald Heston; Fran Burns; JohnJ Butler; Ron Borsellino <borsellino.ron@epa.gov>; Driscoll.Stacie@epa.gov; krakowiak.john@epa.gov; William Arguto; Ellen Schmitt; Victoria Binetti; John Krakowiak

Subject: EPA Statement -- FYI

Below is the final version of the EPA statement issued Friday evening to the media -- FYI!

Joan Schafer
Senior Communications Specialist
Office of Public Affairs
USEPA - Region 3
1650 Arch Street
Philadelphia, PA 19103

Phone: (215) 814-5143
Fax: (215) 814-5102
e-mail: schaffer.joan@epa.gov
----- Forwarded by Joan Schaffer/R3/USEPA/US on 02/06/2012 09:28 AM -----

From: Terri-A White/R3/USEPA/US
To: "Roy Seneca" <seneca.roy@epa.gov>, "Joan Schaffer" <Schaffer.Joan@epamail.epa.gov>
Date: 02/04/2012 07:57 PM
Subject: Fw: Dimock arsenic level

Our final statement is below.
Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

EPA Response to Cabot Statement

Since EPA announced on January 19 our plan to sample wells in Dimock, Pennsylvania, and provide alternate water supplies to four homes, we have been clear and forthright about the basis of our actions and acknowledged that uncertainties about the data made available to the Agency existed. We acknowledged that the data available to us was incomplete and of uncertain quality, and based on this, determined the need to conduct sampling of wells. Nevertheless, there were data items that presented sufficient health concerns, leading us to offer alternate water to a handful of the residents. EPA's standard practice when conducting investigations ensures the protection of public health, as our analysis considers the highest levels to which the public may be exposed. Additional, validated data is needed to fill information gaps and develop a sound scientific basis for assessing the need for further action.

Cabot has criticized EPA for providing alternate water supplies to four homes in Dimock. We took this precautionary and limited step as an interim measure to be protective of human health while monitoring is underway. Cabot has also characterized the presence of certain chemicals, such as arsenic, manganese, and sodium as naturally occurring. This is misleading, since although these chemicals are naturally occurring in Susquehanna County, the levels of arsenic, manganese and sodium found in the Dimock area are not consistent with background concentrations typically found in the zones from which Dimock home owners draw water for their private wells. Nevertheless, EPA did not act on sodium as a basis for providing alternate water. However, the arsenic and manganese levels, when reviewed by an EPA toxicologist, were at levels high enough to present a health concern, supporting the need for alternate water. This latest explanation by Cabot about their data further underscores the need for EPA to have reliable validated information.

Beginning on January 10, Cabot began submitting data in response to the Agency's request of January 6. EPA is reviewing that data which consists of approximately 10,000 pages of records pertaining to the site. Until that point, Cabot had not provided EPA any data. Furthermore, Cabot has advised us that even more data, estimated at 100,000 additional pages, is still to be provided. We plan to carefully consider it, along with the results of our own sampling, in determining next steps. Meanwhile, we will work with Cabot to get any additional information that will help us interpret the data they have given us.

As stated in EPA's January 19 Action Memorandum, which formally initiated our response in Dimock, "EPA will continue to evaluate the updated data, and may revise its actions to provide water to any of the additional homes, or to cease provision of water, as warranted by the data." We are, therefore, focused on obtaining results in an expeditious manner and acting on them.

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services